

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,571	02/12/2002	Lior Ophir	TI-31243	6589	
23494	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INS	STRUMENTS INCOR	DUONG, DUC T			
P O BOX 65:	5474, M/S 3999				
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2663		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Dez			
Office Action Summary		10/074,571	OPHIR ET AL.	7 1 -			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Duc T. Duong	2663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss			
		VIC CET TO EVOIDE 2 M	MONTU(S) OD TUIDTY (20) D				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	ebruary 2002.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-10 is/are rejected.						
· —	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	• •	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		·· ——				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stag	ge			
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	!)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/4/02 \$ 10/15/02</u> .	6) 🗌 Other:	<u>_</u> ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/074,571

Art Unit: 2663

DETALED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

1. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 60/268,332, filed February 12, 2001. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e),

Art Unit: 2663

120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/074,571 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benveniste (US Publishing 2002/0163933 A1).

Regarding to claims 1 and 10, Benveniste discloses a network manager 208 for managing data communications on a network 200 (fig. 2A page 8 paragraph 0118) comprising a controller 205 (fig 2A page 9 paragraph 0120 lines 4-7)) for controlling a first time period 216 for one or more first data transmissions on the network 200 (fig. 2B page 9 paragraph 0120 lines 15-16) wherein the first nodes 202 are permitted to transmit data during the first time periods 216 and the second nodes 204-206 are not permitted to transmit data during the first time period by causing the second nodes to treat the first time period as a single transmission period (fig. 2B page 9 paragraph 0120 lines 16-23; noted that since station 202 is the only station that's granted permission for transmission in the period 216 by the point coordinator 205, all other stations 204-206

Application/Control Number: 10/074,571 Page 5

Art Unit: 2663

must wait until the end of the period to transmit it data, and thus period 216 is used only for a single transmission).

Regarding to claim 2, Benveniste discloses the single transmission period 216 appears to the second nodes 204-206 as a transmission of a single packet of data (fig. 2B page 9 paragraph 0120 lines 15-13-23; noted period 216 is used only to transmits a single frame 222 of station 202).

Regarding to claim 3, Benveniste discloses the single transmission period 216appears to the second nodes 204-206 as single collision resolve process (fig. 2B page 9 paragraph 0123).

Regarding to claim 4, Benveniste disclose controlling an inter-frame gap 219 between the first data transmissions by the first nodes 202 during the first time period 216 such that the second nodes 204-206treat the first time period as a single transmission period (fig. 2B page 9 paragraph 0121).

Regarding to claim 8, Benveniste discloses controlling a second time period 218 for one or more consecutive second data transmissions in the network 200 wherein the first nodes 202 and the second nodes 204-206 are permitted to transmit data during the second time period 218 (fig 2B page 9 paragraph 0121; noted that since the node 202 have a higher priority than node 204-206, node 202 can transmits in the period 218 along with nodes 204-206).

Regarding to claim 9, Benveniste discloses supporting contention based operation during the second time period (fig. 2B page 9 paragraph 0121).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/074,571 Page 6

Art Unit: 2663

4. Claims 5-7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Q. Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DD DD

RICKY Q. NGO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER